### OFFICIAL FILE

### REVISED DIRECT TESTIMONY

of

THOMAS Q. SMITH

Accounting Department
Financial Analysis Division
Public Utilities Bureau
Illinois Commerce Commission

Consumers Illinois Water Company

Annual Reconciliation of Qualifying Infrastructure Plant Clause

Docket No. 03-0177

August 12, 2003

1	<u>Witn</u>	ess Identification
2	Q.	Please state your name and business address.
3	A.	My name is Thomas Q. Smith. My business address is 527 East Capitol
4		Avenue, Springfield, Illinois 62701.
5		
6	Q.	By whom are you employed and in what capacity?
7	A.	I am an Accountant in the Accounting Department of the Financial Analysis
8		Division of the Illinois Commerce Commission ("Commission").
9		
10	Q.	Please describe your background and professional affiliation.
11	A.	In 1974, I received a Bachelor of Arts Degree in Accounting from Michigan State
12		University. I am a Certified Public Accountant licensed to practice in Illinois,
13		Michigan, and Wisconsin. My prior accounting experience includes seven years
14		as an auditor with the Michigan Public Service Commission. Subsequently, I
15		was employed for three years by Wisconsin Southern Gas Company in the initial
16		capacity of Senior Accountant - Rate Specialist and later as Controller. I am a
17		member of the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants.
18		
19	Q.	Have you previously testified before regulatory bodies?
20	A.	Yes, I have testified on several occasions before the Illinois Commerce
21		Commission, the Michigan Public Service Commission, and the Public Service
22		Commission of Wisconsin.

23	Q.	What is the function of the Accounting Department of the Illinois Commerce
24		Commission?
25	A.	The Department's function is to monitor the financial condition of public utilities
26		as part of the Commission's responsibilities under Article IV of the Public Utilities
27		Act ("Act") and to provide accounting expertise on matters before the
28		Commission.
29		
30	<u>Purp</u>	ose of Testimony
31	Q.	What are your responsibilities in this case?
32	A.	I have been assigned to this case by the Manager of the Accounting Department
33		of the Illinois Commerce Commission. I am to review Consumer Illinois Water
34		Company's ("CWIC" or "Company") reconciliation filing, analyze the underlying,
35		data and make recommendations as appropriate.
36		
37	Q.	What is the purpose of your testimony?
38	A.	The purpose of my testimony is to report the results of my review of
39		CIWC's Qualifying Infrastructure Plant Adjustment Clause (QIP) as provided in
40		the Petition and in the Direct Testimony of Mr. Terry J. Rakocy, CIWC EXHIBIT
41		1.0.
42		
43		
44		
45		

Docket No. 03-0177 ICC Staff Exhibit 1.0 Revised

46	Q.	Revised  Are you sponsoring any schedules as part of ICC Staff Exhibit 1.0?				
47	A.	As part of my testimony I am sponsoring the following schedules:				
48						
49		Schedule 1 - Staff Proposed QIP Reconciliation Summary				
50		Schedule 2 - Staff Proposed Adjustment to ActNetQIP				
51		Schedule 3 - Staff Proposed Adjustment for 13 Month Average				
52		Schedule 4 - Staff Proposed Adjustment for Legal Cost				
53		Schedule 5 - Staff Proposed Adjustment for Year 2001 Projects				
54						
55		Each of schedules 1 through 4 contains three pages, one each for Kankakee,				
56		Vermilion, and Woodhaven. Schedule 5 applies to only Vermilion.				
57						
58	Sumn	mary of Reconciliation				
59	Q.	Explain Schedule 1, Staff Proposed QIP Reconciliation Summary.				
60	A.	Schedule 1 identifies the components of the Company's annual reconciliation as				
61		calculated by Staff and compares those components against the same elements				
62		as calculated by the Company. This Schedule nets the Company's calculated R				
63		factor and the Staff's calculated reconciliation of 2002 cost and revenue to arrive				
64		at an O factor for each of the three divisions. The O factors for Kankakee and				
65		Woodhaven are negative representing over collections to be refunded to				
66		customers. The O factor for Vermilion is positive representing an under				
67		collection to be collected from customers.				
68						

69	<u>Adju</u>	stments to Recoverable Cost
70	Q.	Explain Schedule 2, Staff Proposed Adjustment to ActNetQIP.
71	A.	Schedule 2 calculates Staff's adjustment to the ActNetQIP component of the
72		reconciliation. This adjustment appears on Schedule 1, line 2 and is developed
73		on Schedule 2 in a manner to provide for the identification of individual
74		components of the adjustment, 13-month average and elimination of legal cost.
75		In the case of Vermilion there is a third component, disallowance of year 2001
76		projects.
77		
78	<u>Thirt</u>	een Month Average
79	Q.	Explain Schedule 3, Staff Proposed Adjustment for 13 Month Average.
80	A.	This Schedule contains the mathematical calculation of the ActNetQIP
81		adjustment for the 13-month average.
82		
83		CIWC calculated the average balance of ActNetQIP using a twelve month
84		average. Based on my knowledge of 83 III. Adm. Code 656.60(b)(1) which states
85		that ActNetQIP "shall be computed by using an average of 13 end-of-month
86		balances" it is my opinion that the use of a twelve month average is incorrect.
87		
88	Q.	Do you know if the Company agrees with you?
89	A.	It is my belief that the Company agrees that use of a 13 month average is correct
90		and they provided the information upon which the average component of the
91		ActNetQIP adjustment is based.

93	<u>Lega</u>	I Cost
94	Q.	Explain Schedule 4, Staff Proposed Adjustment for Legal Cost.
95	A.	This Schedule contains the mathematical calculation of the ActNetQip
96		adjustment for the Legal cost.
97		
98		This adjustment is based on 83 III. Adm. Code 656.40 and 656.50, which
99		identifies the costs which can be recovered through the QIP rider. The Company
100		in its calculation of QIP cost has included legal expenses incurred for benefit of
101		the rider. These legal costs were not incurred to support the construction of the
102		plant. There is no evidence to support a contention that the plant would not have
103		been constructed absent the legal cost.
104		
105	Q.	Do you know if the Company agrees with your adjustment?
106	A.	The Company has indicated that they agree the adjustment is appropriate and
107		they have supplied the information which supports this adjustment.
108		
109	<u>Year</u>	2001 Projects
110	Q.	Explain Schedule 5, Staff Proposed Adjustment for Year 2001 Projects.
111	A.	This Schedule contains the mathematical calculation of the adjustment for the
112		Year 2001 Projects. The reason for this adjustment is explained in the Direct

114

113

Testimony of Mr. William Marr, ICC Staff Exhibit 2.0.

115 **Depreciation** Are any other adjustments necessary to reflect the use of a 13 month average, 116 Q. 117 the elimination of legal cost, and the disallowance of year 2001 projects? Yes. ActNetDep as shown on Schedule 1, line 5 is in part dependent upon the 118 Α. average ActNetQIP. Thus, the adjustment of a ActNetQIP to reflect the use of a 119 120 13 month average, the elimination of legal cost, and the disallowance of year 121 2001 projects creates an adjustment to ActNetDep. This adjustment is shown on Schedule 1, line 5. 122 123 124 Vermilion Revenue 125 A. Are there other adjustments that appear on Schedule 1? 126 There is one other adjustment that applies to Vermilion only. Because of a Q. 127 double counting error, the Company's initial filing for Vermilion included excess 128 revenues. The excess revenues, in the amount of \$10,722.40, is removed from 129 QIP Revenue on Schedule 1 Vermilion, line 7. 130 131 Recommendations 132 Do you have recommendations? Q. 133 Α. Yes, I recommend that the Company be ordered to refund to its customers 134 amounts equal to the O factors identified in ICC Staff Exhibit 1.0, Schedule 1 Kankakee and in ICC Staff Exhibit 1.0, Schedule 1 Woodhaven. CIWC should 135 136 also be directed to collect from its Vermilion customers an amount equal to the O 137 factor that appears on ICC Staff Exhibit 1.0, Schedule 1 Vermilion. Interest

ICC Staff Exhibit 1.0 Revised should be calculated for all O factors in accordance with 83 III. Adm. Code 138 656.80(i). The refunds and collections of the O factors, and interest, should be 139 accomplished by their inclusion as part of the QIP charge calculated with the first 140 information sheet that the Company files subsequent to the Order in this Docket. 141 142

Docket No. 03-0177

Does this end your testimony? 143 Q.

144 A. Yes.

# Consumers Illinois Water Company, Inc. Kankakee

# Staff Proposed QIP Reconciliation Summary For the Reconciliation Year Ended December 31, 2002

Docket No 03-0177 ICC Staff Exhibit 1.0 Schedule 1 Kankakee Revised

Line		Per	
No Description	Per Staff	Company	Adjustment
A B	С	D	E
1 Unamortized Balance at December 31, 2002	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
2 ActNetQIP	\$ 518,971.86	\$ 563,472.26	\$ (44,500.40)
3 PTR	12.76%	12.76%	0.00%
4 Authorized Return	66,220.81	71,899.06	(5,678.25)
5 ActNetDep	14,723.00	14,747.00	(24.00)
6 Total Recoverable Cost	80,943.81	86,646.06	(5,702.25)
7 QIPRev	233,951.47	233,951.47	
8 2003 Under (Over) Recovery Balance at December 31, 2003	(153,007.66)	(147,305.41)	(5,702.25)
9 R Factor	(147,305.41)	(147,305.41)	
10 O Factor - Under (Over) Recovery	\$ (5,702.25)	\$ 0.00	\$ (5,702.25)

#### Sources:

Column C -- Response to Data Request 2.6

Column D -- CIWC Exhibit A, Schedule (f)(3) Revised

# Consumers Illinois Water Company, Inc. Kankakee

# Staff Proposed Adjustment to ActNetQIP For the Reconciliation Year Ended December 31, 2002

Docket No 03-0177 ICC Staff Exhibit 1.0 Schedule 2 Kankakee Revised

Line <u>No</u>	Description	Amount
Α	В	С
1	Company ActNetQIP	\$ 563,472.26
2	Adjustment for 13 Month Average	(43,344.02)
3	Subtotal	520,128.24
4	Adjustment to Remove Legal Cost	(1,156.38)
5	Staff ActNetQIP	518,971.86
6	Company ActNetQIP	563,472.26
7	Adjustment to ActNetQIP	\$ (44,500.40)

#### Sources:

Line 1 -- CIWC Exhibit A, Schedule (f)(3), Schedule (h)(4), Revised

Line 2 - ICC Staff Exhibit 1.0, Schedule 3 Kankakee

Line 4 -- ICC Staff Exhibit 1.0, Schedule 4 Kankakee

### Consumers Illinois Water Company Kankakee

Staff Proposed Adjustment for 13 Month Average For the Reconciliation Year Ended December 31, 2002

Docket No 03-0177 ICC Staff Exhibit 1.0 Schedule 3 Kankakee Revised

Line No A	B Amount C
1	ActNetQIP 12 Month Average per Staff \$520,128.24
2	ActNetQIP 13 Month Average per Company 56347226%
3	Staff Adjustment to ActNetQip for Average \$\(\(\frac{\$(43,344.02)}{}\)

#### Sources:

Line 1 -- CIWC Exhibit A, Schedule (f)(1), Revised

### Consumers Illinois Water Company Kankakee

### Staff Proposed Adjustment for Legal Cost For the Reconciliation Year Ended December 31, 2002

Docket No 03-0177 ICC Staff Exhibit 1.0 Schedule 4 Kankakee Revised

Line <u>No</u>	Description	Amount
A	В	С
1	ActNetQIP without Legal Cost per Staff	\$518,971.86
2	ActNetQIP withLegal Cost Per Company	520,128.24
3	Staff Adjustment to Eliminate Legal Cost	\$ (1,156.38)

#### Sources:

Line 1 -- Response to Data Request TQS 2.6

# Consumers Illinois Water Company, Inc. Vermilion

# Staff Proposed QIP Reconciliation Summary For the Reconciliation Year Ended December 31, 2002

Docket No 03-0177 ICC Staff Exhibit 1.0 Schedule 1 Vermilion Revised

Line			Per	
No Description		Per Staff	Company	Adjustment
A B		C	D	E
1 Unamortized Balance at December 31, 2002	\$		\$ -	\$
2 ActNetQIP	\$	533,916.97	\$ 582,128.59	\$ (48,211.62)
3 PTR		12.76%	12.76%	0.00%
4 Authorized Return		68,127.81	74,279.61	(6,151.80)
5 ActNetDep		13,083.00	13,227.00	(144.00)
6 Total Recoverable Cost		81,210.81	87,506.61	(6,295.80)
7 QIPRev	·	105,696.40	116,418.80	(10,722.40)
8 2003 Under (Over) Recovery Balance at December 31, 2003		(24,485.59)	(28,912.19)	4,426.60
9 R Factor		(28,912.19)	(28,912.19)	
10 O Factor - Under (Over) Recovery	\$	4,426.60	\$ (0.00)	\$ 4,426.60

### Sources:

Column C -- Response to Data Request 3.1

Column D -- CIWC Exhibit B, Schedule (f)(3) Revised

# Consumers Illinois Water Company, Inc. Vermilion

# Staff Proposed Adjustment to ActNetQIP For the Reconciliation Year Ended December 31, 2002

Docket No 03-0177 ICC Staff Exhibit 1.0 Schedule 2 Vermilion Revised

Line No A	Description B	Amount C
1	Company ActNetQIP v	\$582,128.59
2	Adjustment for 13 Month Average	(44,779.13)
3	Subtotal	537,349.46
4	Adjustment to Remove Legal Cost	(808.54)
5	Subtotal	536,540.92
6	Adjustment to Remove Year 2001 Projects	(2,623.95)
7	Staff ActNetQIP	533,916.97
8	Company ActNetQIP	582,128.59
9	Adjustment to ActNetQIP	\$ (48,211.62)

#### Sources:

Line 1 - CIWC Exhibit B, Schedule (f)(3), Schedule (h)(4), Revised

Line 2 -- ICC Staff Exhibit 1.0, Schedule 3 Vermilion

Line 4 -- ICC Staff Exhibit 1.0, Schedule 4 Vermilion

Line 5 -- ICC Staff Exhibit 1.0, Schedule 5 Vermilion

# Consumers Illinois Water Company Vermilion

### Staff Proposed Adjustment for 13 Month Average For the Reconciliation Year Ended December 31, 2002

Docket No 03-0177 ICC Staff Exhibit 1.0 Schedule 3 Vermilion Revised

Line No A	Description Amount B C	_
1	ActNetQIP 12 Month Average per Staff \$537,349.46	
2	ActNetQIP 13 Month Average per Company 582,128.59	_
3	Staff Adjustment to ActNetQip for Average _\$ (44,779.13)	

#### Sources:

Line 1 -- Response to Data Request TQS 1.5

Line 2 -- CIWC Exhibit B, Schedule (f)(1), Revised

# Consumers Illinois Water Company Vermilion

### Staff Proposed Adjustment for Legal Cost For the Reconciliation Year Ended December 31, 2002

Docket No 03-0177 ICC Staff Exhibit 1.0 Schedule 4 Vermilion Revised

Line No A	B	Amount C
1	ActNetQIP without Legal Cost per Staff	\$536,540.92
2	ActNetQIP withLegal Cost Per Company	537,349.46
3	Staff Adjustment to Eliminate Legal Cost	\$ (808.54)

#### Sources:

Line 1 -- Response to Data Request TQS 2.7

# Consumers Illinois Water Company Vermillion Staff Proposed Adjustment for Year 2001 Projects For the Reconciliation Year Ended December 31, 2002

Docket No 03-0177 ICC Staff Exhibit 1.0 Schedule 5 Vermilion Revised

Line No A	B	Amount C
1	ActNetQIP without Year 2001 Projects per Staff	\$533,916.97
2	ActNetQIP without Year 2001 Projects per Company	536,540.92
3	Staff Adjustment to Eliminate Legal Cost	\$ (2,623.95)

#### Sources:

Line 1 -- Response to Data Request TQS 3.1

# Consumers Illinois Water Company, Inc. Woodhaven

# Staff Proposed Adjustment to ActNetQIP For the Reconciliation Year Ended December 31, 2002

Docket No 03-0177 ICC Staff Exhibit 1.0 Schedule 2 Woodhaven Revised

Line No	Description	Amount	
A	В		С
1	Company ActNetQIP	\$	9,030.11
2	Adjustment for 13 Month Average		(694.62)
3	Subtotal		8,335.49
4	Adjustment to Remove Legal Cost		(55.77)
5	Staff ActNetQIP		8,279.72
6	Company ActNetQIP	<u> </u>	9,030.11
7	Adjustment to ActNetQIP	<u>\$</u>	(750.39)

#### Sources:

Line 1 -- CIWC Exhibit C, Schedule (f)(3), Schedule (h)(4), Revised

Line 2 -- ICC Staff Exhibit 1.0, Schedule 3 Woodhaven

Line 4 -- ICC Staff Exhibit 1.0, Schedule 4 Woodhaven

### Consumers Illinois Water Company Woodhaven

### Staff Proposed Adjustment for 13 Month Average For the Reconciliation Year Ended December 31, 2002

Docket No 03-0177 ICC Staff Exhibit 1.0 Schedule 3 Woodhaven Revised

Line No A	B	Amount C
1	ActNetQIP 12 Month Average per Staff \$	8,335.49
2	ActNetQIP 13 Month Average per Companı	9,030.11
3	Staff Adjustment to ActNetQip for Average	(694.62)

#### Sources:

Line 1 -- Response to Data Request TQS 1.7

Line 2 -- CIWC Exhibit C, Schedule (f)(1), Revised

## Consumers Illinois Water Company Woodhaven

## Staff Proposed Adjustment for Legal Cost For the Reconciliation Year Ended December 31, 2002

Docket No 03-0177 ICC Staff Exhibit 1.0 Schedule 4 Woodhaven Revised

Line No A	Description B	 Amount C
1	ActNetQIP without Legal Cost per Staff	\$ 8,279.72
2	ActNetQIP withLegal Cost Per Company	 8,335.49
3	Staff Adjustment to Eliminate Legal Cost	\$ (55.77)

#### Sources:

Line 1 -- Response to Data Request TQS 2.8